EVALUATION OF TEMPERATURE FIELD COMPOSITE TUBE SHEET GEOMETRIES WITH COMPLEX BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

R.K.Singh H.S. Kushlwaha, B.Murali and A.Kakodkar Reactor Engineering Division Bhabha Atomic Research Centre Trombay, Bombay - 400 085

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of temperature field in composite tube shet geometries important from suitable and is effective thermal design aspect. In several situations a number of unknowns may be present at boundaries such as convective heat trancoefficient, and fluid bulk sfer temperature. Since convective heat transfer coefficient is a function of surface temperature for natural convection, it is desirable to correct the heat transfer coefficient and surface temperature literatively. In tube sheet problems one has to obtain three dimensional temperature field for complex heat load and internal heat generation. At times an equipment is required operate with only partial cooto ling water level due to either nonavailability of cooling pumps in a system or due to failure of power supply to cooling pumps. The designer must ensure that the temperature in the equipment is within permissible limits. In this problem the temperature of cover gas trapped above the water level becomes one additional unknown.

In the present paper the above problem has been analysed at two stages. At first a simplified two dimensional model of composite tube sheet geometry is analysed by a finite element computer code [1]. AXITEMP The heat transfer coefficients are corrected iteratively and the trapped cover gas temperature is obtained by ensuring

heat balance. A number of cases are analysed which include effect of water level fluctuation in the equipment, and effect of low flow no flow from the cooling or pumps. In second stage a local path of tube sheet with lattice tube is used for study with a three dimensional finite element computer code HEAT 5 [2]. In this analysis all the input such as surface tempera-ture at the patch edge, convective heat transfer coefficients and trapped cover gas bulk temperature is taken from simplified two dimensional analysis.

MODELLING

In the simplified two dimen-sional analysis the tube sheets and the central slab with buffle is first converted into equivalent blocks with same conduction volume and convection surface as shown in figure 1. In these models the lattice tubes and annular gap in baffle slab have been replaced suitably to obtain overall temperature and the cover gas temperature. At right end of equipment the air te perature is 271⁰C while at the left end air temperature is 82.8⁰C. The water is upto a level of G. Forced convection condition is assumed in water portion. For an assumed cover gas temperature the temperature distribution in

Reg.J.Energy Heat Mass Transfer Vol.11 No.3, pp. 197-202 1989.

197

central two tube sheet sand the after obtained is slab iteratively correcting the free transfer convection heat and air coefficients in cover gas made portion. A heat balance as of for the assumed temperature cover gas as follows:

 $Q_{TSL} + Q_{SLAB} + Q_{TSR} = 0$ (1)

where Q_{TSL} = heat from left tube sheet to cover gas

Q_{TSR} = heat from right tube sheet to cover gas

Q_{SLAB} = heat from two slab faces

The cover gas temperature is changed till the above equation is satisfied(3).

The analysis was carried out for two cases in which water level in the equipment was changed from G row to level between F and G row (FG) and level between G and H row (FH). Outer cases which were studied by this method were shut down case with normal cooling water flow in the equipment. In this case the internal heat generation is reduced to 30%. Finally temperature distribution is obtained for a case when pumps stop due to power failure. In this case the water temperature is taken to be 99°C with natural convection conditions. In phase 2 analysis the heat transfer coefficients in air and cover gas portion and the cover gas temperature obtained above are used as input for a detailed three dimensional temperature distribution study. Here a patch of tube sheet and certain length of lattice tube is modelled interface near water cover gas portion.

RESULTS

Fig. 2 shows temperature distribution in left and right tube sheet and the baffle slab with water level at GG with normal coo-

The cover gas ling water flow. found to be temperature is 124.4⁰C. The maximum temperature on left tube sheet is 31. ⁰C, (in cover gas portion) while the maximum temperature in right tube sheet is 195⁰C. In case of power supply failure to cooling pumps the water side temperature left tube sheet is 141. ^OC. Τn cover gas portion the maximum temperature on left tube sheet is 11 ^OCwhile on right side tube sheet it is 200.6⁰C. Table 1 represents the detail results for shows Fig.3 cases. various temperature in tube sheet by two and three dimensional analysis. It may be noted that temperature of different locations matches well.

CONCLUSION

The temperature distribution in a complex geometry such as composite tube sheet can be by simplified two obtained dimensional and detailed three finite element dimensional The effect heat of analysis. transfer coefficient on temperature distribution can be iteratively corrected. Simplified analysis helps to arrive at in various boundary conditions terms of temperature bulk fluid bulk fluid temperature and heat transfer coefficients for detailed three dimensional analysis.

REFERENCES

- H.S. Kushwaha, K.Singh, B.Murali 'AXITEMP' A finite element computer code for two dimensional and asimsymmetric structures thermal analysis -RED, BARC, Bombay.
- 2. H.S. Kushwaha 'HEA 5 A finite element computer code for three dimensional thermal analysis - RED, BARC

3. Heat transfer and fluid flow data book by General Electric Company.

\

CASE	TEMP. (^O C)	TEMP. (⁰ C) (1,2)	TEMP. (⁰ C) SI (1,2)	LAB TEMP. (°C) *(1,2)
Water level FG normal flow	118.3	120 (E) 127.8	191.1 (B) 111.7	98.9(B) 73.9
Water level GG normal flow	124.4	127.8 127.8	111.7 111.7	73.9 73.9
Water level GH normal flow	132.4	148.3 127.8	200(B) 111.7	115.6(B) 73.9
Shut down water level GG normal flow	113.1	103.3(E) 110	190(B) 111.7	90.6(B) 73.9
Shut down water level GG low due to power supply failure to pumps	135.2	118.9(F) 141.7	200.6(B) 140	119.4(B) 103.3
	Water level FG normal flow Water level GG normal flow Water level GH normal flow Shut down water level GG normal flow Shut down water level GG low due to power supply failure to pumps	TEMP. (⁶ C) Water level FG 118.3 normal flow Water level GG 124.4 normal flow Water level GH 132.4 normal flow Shut down water 113.1 level GG normal flow Shut down water level GG low 135.2 due to power supply failure to pumps	TEMP. ($^{\circ}C$)TEMP. ($^{\circ}C$)TEMP. ($^{\circ}C$)Water level FG118.3120 (E)normal flow127.8Water level GG124.4127.8Water level GH132.4148.3normal flow127.8Water level GH132.4148.3normal flow127.8Shut down water113.1103.3(E)level GG normal110flow135.2118.9(F)due to power141.7supply failureto pumps	TEMP. (0 C)TEMP. (0 C)TEMP. (0 C)TEMP. (0 C)SIWater level FG118.3120 (E)191.1 (B)normal flow127.8111.7Water level GG124.4127.8111.7Water level GG124.4127.8111.7Water level GH132.4148.3200(B)normal flow127.8111.7Water level GH132.4148.3200(B)normal flow127.8111.7Shut down water113.1103.3(E)190(B)level GG normal110111.7flowShut down water135.2118.9(F)200.6(B)due to power141.7140supply failure141.7140

Legend

*1 First temp.in cover gas portion Second temp. in water portion.

^{*}2 Letters in bracket show location of the tube sheet.

200



AREA EQUIVALENCE





FOR ALL MODELS h MODEL A MODEL hA FIG.1





(82.2) AIR

TRANSIENT TEMPERATURE COMPUTATIONS IN DIFFERENT SIZES OF C-COUPLINGS DUE TO REACTOR START-UP AND SHUT-DOWN

D.S.Chawla, B.K.Dutta, H.S.Kushwaha and A.Kakodkar

Reactor Engineering Division Bhabha Atomic Research Centre Trombay, BOMBAY-400 085

Abstract

The procedure for computing temperature transients in two different sizes of C-cuplings, used in nuclear reactors is described. Finite element numerical solution technique is used for this purpose. Imposed transient flux is due to fuelling machine operation as well as reactor startup and shutdown. Consideration of various convective boundary conditions and calculation of associated h values are shown.

INTRODUCTION

C-couplings are becoming more and more popular components in nuclear power plants and are used in place of conventional flanges because of their compactness, easy maintenance and more reliability. They are used in large numbers in Pressurised Heavy Water Reactors (PHWR) such as at the joint between feeder pipes and End-fiting, in F/M housing etc. Integrity of these clamps have direct effect on overall safety of the nuclear power plants.

This necessitates proper design, fabrication, installation and maintenance of these components.

The temperature transient computation of these couplings during reactor start-up and shut-down are found to be necessary to check whether maximum thermal stresses are within codal limit and also to

compute allowable fatigue The cycles. irregular outer coupling profile of the necessitates the use of \mathbf{a} powerful numerical technique such as finite elements for temperature computational purposes. The coupling with its hub may very well be simulated as an axisymmetric body when mounted, from heat transfer point of view. The inner surthe coupling is face of in contact with its hubs, which is exposed to channel flowing water. The temperature of the water changes during various reactor operations. Hence heat transfer coefficient of this surface changes. Heat loss through outer surface of the coupling is due to natural convection and hence again a function of varying surface temperature.

In the present work transient temperatures are computed in two different sizes of C-couplings. The next Sec-

Reg. J. Energy Heat and Mass Transfer vol.11, No.4, pp.273-278 1989

Table 1: N Numerical constants in Eq (4) based on Teja and Method for viscosities of liquid mixtures Rice $\left(\begin{array}{c} r_{1} \\ r_{2} \\ r_{1} \\ r_{2} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} r_{1} \\ r_{2} \\ r_{2} \\ r_{2} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} r_{1} \\ r_{2} \\ r_{2} \\ r_{2} \\ r_{2} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} r_{1} \\ r_{2} \\ r_{2}$

SaMuquo ASIS TABASINA WWOO-TUBE OVA SULTS

	R21-DMF	R21-DMETEG	R22-DMF	R22-DMETEG
		an a	1177	۵۳ <u>۰۰۰۳</u>
a0	0.3878E+01	0.4396E+01	0.3270E+01	0.3773E+01
a1	-0.1122E+04	-0.1777E+04	-0.1033E+04	-0.1672E+04
a2	0.9738E+03	moisivid anivo	selual notas <mark>e</mark> s	
a3	0.1391E+03	0.1371E+03	0.1430E+03	0.1538E+03
a4	0.4348E+03	0.1210E-02	0.1333E+02	0.2134E-02
a5	-0.1727E+02	-0.3294E-02	-0.3561E-02	-0.5240E-02
a6	0.1220E-02	0.1216E-02	0.1257E-02	0.1355E-02
				a segura ta cata

Table 2: Numerical constants in Eq (5) based on Ely and Hanley Method for Thermal conductivities of liquid mixtures

R21-DMF	R21-DMETEG	R22-DMF	R22-DMETEG
			an an an Araba an Ar
b0 -0.3647E+00	-0.3201E-01	-0.2018E+00	-0.8961E-01
b1 -0.2043E+03	-0.2077E+03	-0.2009E+03	−0.1881E+03
b2 -0.6911E+02	-0.4568E+02	-0.1460E+01	-0.2560E+02
b3 -0.3943E+02	0.9777E+02	0.1263E+03	0.1117E+03
b4 -0.3257E-02	-0.2623E-02	-0.3539E-02	-0.3201E+02
b5 -0.6224E-03	-0.8038E-03	-0.3880E-03	-0.1416E-02
b6 -0.1032E-02	-0.2048E-02	-0.3126E-02	-0.2058E-02
	on idukuewan	le eenemed w	salas (n. 1996).
i con addae	and the second second		

R21 = CHCI F; R22 = CHCIF2 ; DMF = Dimethyl formamide; DMETEG = Dimethyl ether of tetraethylene glycol.



FIG. 3

275

sis of high pressure pipe coupling (feeder Gray lock) for KAPP, RED internal report no. RED/AK/1393/86 (1986).

TABLE-1 MATERIAL AND HEAT TRANSFER DATA FOR FUELLING MACHINE C-COUPLING ANALYSIS

MATERIAL DATA HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT DATA Inner Surface-525 Kcal/mm² Hr^oC - 403 SS Material Av.Conductivity- 0.01462 Kcal/mm Outer Surface -Hr°C - 7.817E-06Kg/mm² Surface temp. (°C)h(kcal/mm²HroC) Density - 0.11 Kcal/Kg°C 38 Sp. Heat 0.0 50 5.4668 70 7.643 100 9.1897

TABLE -2 MATERIAL AND HEAT TRANSFER DATA FOR FEEDER PIPE C -COUPLING ANALYSIS

	MATERIAL DATA		HEA	T	TRANSFER	COEFFICIE	NT DATA
-	Material Av. Conductivity		403 SS 0.01542Kcal/ mm Hr°C	In	nner Surf urface te	°ace mp.(°C)h(1	kcal/mm ²
	Sp.Heat	<u> </u>	0.11Kcal/Kg ^o	C	50 70' 100 200 250	0.011 0.013 0.016 0.021 0.021	Hr°C) 1724 22 108 642 642
			Ou	te	r surface	e-assumed	insulated





FIG.2A TEMPERATURE TRANSIENT ALONG THE THICKNESS AT SECTION1-1



FK3.3A TEMPERATURE TRANSIENT ALONG THE THICKNESS AT SECTION2-2

.











FIG. 4 DISCRETIZATION OF KAPP FEEDER PIPE COUPLING



FIG.5A TEMPERATURE TRANSIENT IN KAPP PIPE FEEDER COUPLING AT SECTION 1-1 DURING HEATING.



FIG.58 TEMPERATURE TRANSIENT IN KAPP PIPE FEEDER COUPLING AT SECTION 1-1 DURING COOLING.





FIG.6A TEMPERATURE TRANSIENT IN KAPP PIPE FEEDER COUPLING AT SECTION2-2 DURING HEATING.